

Dr.-Eng. Gintcho Kostov "GEO ZEMIA" Ltd.



1. Introduction



1. Introduction In this specific case, digital model and project (fig. 2) were required for the update of the map In the process of the measurements technical difficulties could be met the geodetic measurements were processed and documentation was created object, subject of this paper located in a high density urban area

2. Aims of this project

Usage of 3D terrestrial laser scanning for geodetic measurements in high-density urban area, as one contemporary way for fast gathering of spatial information

To deliver cost-competitive final product.

To <u>maximize</u> the field <u>productivity.</u>

Creation of documentation, according to the requirements of Ordinance N RD-02-20-5, 2017





4. Technical issues, which might require update/correction of the cadastral map

25

× × × 21 × 22

18

19

X

20

24



5. The focus of the paper













8. Advantages and disadvantages of the used technology, explicitly in our case



8. Advantages and disadvantages of the used technology, explicitly in our case

Disadvantages

the scanner's position must be very carefully considered;

requirement for direct visibility between scanner-object;



MMM

9. Technical difficulties - in the high density urban area and in internal places

MMM

Potential danger – risk from falling natural material (e.g. small branches or big leaves) from the tree onto the scanner.



Fig. 4 The tall trees, situated next to the object



9. Technical difficulties - in the high density urban area and in internal places





10. Processing of the raw data. Final results from the geodetic measurements.

	✓ Advance	ed Overall residual erro	or: 0.000 m		_				
Match with	Jan Unmatch	🖉 Auto-match all	Ø Auto-	match Station					
ched Station									
me	Scan Per Station	Corresponding Target	Scan Per	Residual Error	Delta N	Delta E	Delta El	Fitting Error	Distance to Scanr
Changer0	0 6			0.000 m					
2 9 001		001	2	0.000 m	-0.000 m	-0.000 m	0.000 m	0.000 m	5.659 m
- 2 9 002		002	2	0.000 m	-0.000 m	-0.000 m	-0.000 m	0.000 m	7.640 m
· @] @ 003		003	2	0.001 m	0.001 m	-0.000 m	0.000 m	0.000 m	6.156 m
-10 004		004	2	0.000 m	-0.000 m	-0.000 m	-0.000 m	0.000 m	9.741 m
- 1 0 005		005	2	0.000 m	0.000 m	0.000 m	0.000 m	0.000 m	7.496 m
· @ Target	12							0.001 m	7.611 m
	0.6			0.000 m				0.001.111	
- 20 @ 002	<u>v</u>	002	2	0.000 m	0.000 m	0.000 m	0.000 m	0.000 m	2.013 m
-%) @ 001		001	2	0.000 m	0.000 m	0.000 m	-0.000 m	0.000 m	2.424 m
@ 004		004	2	0.000 m	0.000 m	0.000 m	-0.000 m	0.000 m	3 477 m
(@) @ 004		004	2	0.000 m	0.000 m	0.000 m	0.000 m	0.000 m	1.455 m
¥005		005	2	0.000 m	-0.001 m	0.000 m	-0.000 m	0.000 m	1.455 m
¥005		005	2	0.000 m	-0.000 m	-0.000 m	-0.000 m	0.000 m	1.728 m
g_ ∦ Targeti	0							0.001 m	5.900 m
The scar the " Au	ns were registe to-extract Tai gister" menu o	ered, using rgets and option	$\Big)$			Fig. : max	5 Registration	residual erro	nal stations or 0.001 m.
Reg									

10. Processing of the raw data. Final results from the geodetic measurements.

Registration Details									
🕱 Station View	✓ Advanced	Overall residual error: 0	.001 m						
Match with S Unmatch Auto-match all Auto-match Station									
Name	Scan Per Station	Corresponding Target	Scan Per	Residual Error	Delta N	Delta F	Delta Fl	Fitting Error	Distance to Scanner
- 🖗 🕅 Changer003	5	conceptionaling ranger	Scarrerin	0.001 m	Delta H	Dena		Thang Error	Distance to Scanner
2 0 06		006	2	0.001 m	-0.000 m	-0.000 m	-0.001 m	0.000 m	2.751 m
-👷 💡 007		007	2	0.001 m	0.001 m	-0.001 m	-0.001 m	0.000 m	3.125 m
-20 🖗 (008		008	2	0.001 m	0.001 m	0.000 m	0.001 m	0.000 m	2.558 m
-20 🖗 🦉		009	2	0.002 m	-0.001 m	0.001 m	0.000 m	0.000 m	2.712 m
👷 🖓 Target22								0.000 m	2.934 m
🗆 📄 🦞 Changer004	4			0.001 m					
-👻] 💡 006		006	2	0.001 m	0.000 m	0.000 m	0.001 m	0.000 m	0.843 m
-👻] 💡 007		007	2	0.001 m	-0.001 m	0.001 m	0.001 m	0.000 m	0.938 m
-👻] 💡 008		008	2	0.001 m	-0.001 m	-0.000 m	-0.001 m	0.001 m	1.444 m
_ ` @] 💡 009		009	2	0.002 m	0.001 m	-0.001 m	-0.000 m	0.000 m	0.865 m
		/							

Fig. 6 Registration of the internal (underground) stations





10. Processing of the raw data. Final results from the geodetic measurements.

"Cloud based registration"
was used -

due to the unique cadastral situation – narrow entrance and steep stairs.



workspace		
🙀 Scans 🛛 🔯 Targets	images 🔚	Tools
CLOUD-BASED R	EGISTRATION	
\$\$		
Step 1 - Select 2 Clo	uds	
Reference Cloud		
🔁 1,2	✓ ×	3
Number of Points:	6 561 979	
Mouring Cloud		
3,4	<u> </u>	5
Number of Points:	18 438 015	
Step 2 - Register 2 C	louds	
3 Points	Refine	
🔶 🥰 💽		
– Step 3 - Check Queli	itu /	
Refine Error: 0.003 points)	m (1 984	
Overlap	Cutting Plane	
Apply Group	Close Help)

A refine error of 0.03 m. was calculated.

Fig. 7 Cloud based registration of the external and internal parts of the object

11. Application of the data from the laser scanning in our case





Fig. 8 The underground object

11. Application of the data from the laser scanning in our case

One part of the digital product – the extracted horizontal cross section

The model was used to be created the so called scheme of a separated object on the relevant floor of the building

Fig. 9 The extracted contour of the object



11. Application of the data from the laser scanning in our case

Fig. 10 Screenshot from the updated cadastral map

The geometric information given in fig. 9 used further on in software Mkad for creation of the *.cad file, required for the update of the cadastral map (fig. 10).

The final "shape" of the object with its ID, placed on the existing cadastral map



12. Content of the final product



12. Content of the final product





Fig. 11 The object and its coordinates

13. Conclusion. Recommendations.

Ordinance N RD-02-20-5, 2017 requires performing of measurements in order to submit a **project for update/correction** of errors of the cadastral map

In the annex N 8 to article N 36 (2) of the above Ordinance the technology of laser scanning <u>was nowhere mentioned</u>, even though it satisfies completely the requirements for accuracy of the listed types of surveying methods for performing of geodetic measurements. The update of the cadastral map was successfully completed, using: -3D terrestrial laser scanning; -the possibilities of the cited software; -the described specific procedure.

The mentioned disadvantages and the difficulties met did not reflect on the overall productivity and reliability of the conducted geodetic measurements.

13. Conclusion. Recommendations.

3D terrestrial laser scanning eliminated the possibility for errors, which might occur (if using the conventional surveying methods).

The applied technology was a reliable one for geodetic activities **in this specific case**, taking in mind the nature of the object (**situated underground**). The applied surveying equipment **maximized the field productivity** - of **major importance** in the high density urban area.

www.geodesy.xyz

The application of other way for measurements was technically illfounded.

13. Conclusion. Recommendations

Last, but not least the involved IT created a **step forward** to a **more productive procedure**, accurate and reliable final product based on the applied in our case 3D terrestrial laser scanning.

High quality of the digital model was obtained, as shown from the final results:

- residual error in the target-based registration max. 0.002 m.;
- overall residual error for the target-based registration max. 0.001 m.;
- refine error of the cloud based registration 0.03 m.



13. Conclusion. Recommendations



It should be noted that: -the **high productivity** in the field; -the results from the **quality control**; -the applied **technology** for update/correction of the cadastral map

were essential for the successful and reliable completion of the task.



www.geodesy.xyz

From the given information and final results it could be concluded:

- to be **proposed** an update of the normative documents, concerning the geodetic measurements /*especially in the area of the subject of this paper*/, taking in mind the **technical possibilities of the nowadays IT in land surveying**.

14. Outlook

It would be recommended the **explicit addition** of 3D terrestrial laser scanning in the normative documentation, as **contemporary and precise surveying technology**, if update or correction of the cadastral map is required.



REFERENCES:

1. Kostov, G. 2015, Application, Specifics and Technical Implementation of the 3D Terrestrial Laser Scanning for Measurement and Analysis of the Spatial Geometry of a Steel Construction. paper no 7625, pp 5. FIG Working week 2015. From the wisdom of the ages to the challenges of the modern world. Sofia, Bulgaria.

2. Milev, G. 2012, Laser and Radar Scanning. Magazine, pp 5-6, 3-12, "GKZ" issue 2012 - in Bulgarian.

3. Ministry of Regional Development and Public Works, 2017. Ordinance N RD-02-20-5 from 15 December 2016 for the Content, Creation and Maintenance of the Cadastral Map and Cadastral Registers, Pp 76-77 – Published in State Newspaper Issue 4/13.1.2017 - in Bulgarian.

4. http://tinyurl.com/pmz2hf6

- 5. http://tinyurl.com/pttjzxh in French
- 6. http://tinyurl.com/pnqqabg
- 7. https://tinyurl.com/zgbs4nj
- 8. http://tinyurl.com/z2jpaqj
- 9. https://tinyurl.com/glxva27
- 10. http://tinyurl.com/gqk9d4t
- 11. http://tinyurl.com/hjv785u
- 12. https://kais.cadastre.bg in Bulgarian



REFERENCES:

USED SOFTWARE

- 1. Autocad (http://tinyurl.com/zc9mot3);
- 2. Mkad (http://kolma.bg/download.php);
- 3. Trimble Realworks (http://tinyurl.com/pdckrlr).



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

